- 1	1							
1	DAVID LOY, Cal. Bar No. 229235							
2	ANN CAPPETTA, Cal. Bar No. 354079 FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION							
3								
4	Telephone: 415.460.5060 Facsimile: 415.460.5155							
5	Email: dloy@firstamendmentcoalition.org acappetta@firstamendmentcoalition	ı.org						
6	KEL MCCLANAHAN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> forthcomi NATIONAL SECURITY COUNSELORS	ng)						
7	1451 Rockville Pike, Suite 230 Rockville, MD 20852 Telephone: 501.301.4672							
8								
9	Email: kel@nationalsecuritylaw.org							
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs MSW MEDIA, INC. and FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION							
11								
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
14								
15	MSW MEDIA, INC., and FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION,	Case No. 3:25-cv-2881						
16	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR						
17	V.	VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT						
18	UNITED STATES DOGE SERVICE,	INFORMATION ACT						
19	,							
20	Defendants.							
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiffs MSW Media, Inc. and First Amendment Coalition (collectively "Plaintiffs") bring this action against Defendant United States DOGE Service pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 ("FOIA"), the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, and the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff MSW Media, Inc. ("MSW Media") is a media business incorporated in the state of California and has the ability to disseminate information on a wide scale. MSW Media's principal place of business is 3245 University Avenue, Suite 163, San Diego, CA 92104.
- 2. Plaintiff First Amendment Coalition ("FAC") is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to freedom of speech and government transparency. FAC provides legal information and consultations to journalists, academics, bloggers, and ordinary persons regarding access rights under FOIA and California's various open-government laws. FAC files amicus briefs in important appeals, both in state and federal courts, including the United States Supreme Court. FAC also files litigation to defend and expand the rights of the public and press under access laws, including FOIA. FAC's principal place of business is 534 Fourth Street, Suite B, San Rafael, CA 94901.
- 3. Defendant United States DOGE Service ("USDS") is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1), and is in possession and/or control of the records requested by Plaintiffs which are the subject of this action. As a component of the Executive Office of the President ("EOP"), USDS is headquartered at 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20500.

JURISDICTION

4. This Court has both subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal jurisdiction over Defendant pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

VENUE

5. Venue is appropriate under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

6. Because FAC's office is located in Marin County, assignment of this case to the San Francisco Division of the Northern District of California is appropriate pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c)–(d).

STATUTORY FRAMEWORK

- 7. FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, requires agencies of the federal Government to release requested records to the public unless one or more specific exemptions apply.
- 8. This Court has jurisdiction, upon receipt of a complaint, "to enjoin the agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).
- 9. "A FOIA requester may also assert a FOIA pattern or practice claim—a 'claim that an agency policy or practice will impair the party's lawful access to information in the future."" Hajro v. U.S. Citizenship & Imm. Servs., 811 F.3d 1086, 1103 (9th Cir. 2016).

BACKGROUND

PART I: DOGE BEFORE USDS

- 10. On 19 August 2024, after a campaign event in Pennsylvania, Candidate Trump was asked if would consider an administration position for Elon Musk ("Musk") if elected. Candidate Trump replied, "I certainly would, if he would do it, I certainly would. He's a brilliant guy." That evening, Musk replied from a personal social media account, "I am willing to serve," along with an Artificial Intelligence-generated image of himself standing at a lectern labeled "D.O.G.E. Department of Government Efficiency." This image is the earliest known use of "D.O.G.E." or reference to the "Department of Government Efficiency." The DOGE acronym is a tongue-incheek reference to Dogecoin, a cryptocurrency in which Musk has personally invested and which he regularly promoted on social media.
- 11. On 12 November 2024, following his election victory, President-Elect Trump announced in a statement from his transition team, published on social media, that he intended to appoint Musk and former presidential candidate Vivek Ramaswamy to "lead the Department of Government Efficiency ('DOGE')," which he described as a new entity that would "provide

advice and guidance from outside of Government" and would "partner with the White House and
Office of Management & Budget to drive large scale structural reform, and create an
entrepreneurial approach to Government never seen before." He further stated that he "look[ed]
forward to Elon and Vivek making changes to the Federal Bureaucracy," and set a termination
date of 4 July 2026 for this new advisory committee.

- 12. On 20 November 2024, Musk and Ramaswamy authored an opinion editorial in the *Wall Street Journal* in which they stated that "President Trump has asked the two of us to lead a newly formed Department of Government Efficiency, or DOGE, to cut the Federal Government down to size."
- 13. Most relevant for this litigation, Musk and Ramaswamy then stated their intention to, through DOGE, effect "mass head-count reductions across the federal bureaucracy" through executive action:

DOGE intends to work with embedded appointees in agencies to identify the minimum number of employees required at an agency for it to perform its constitutionally permissible and statutorily mandated functions. The number of federal employees to cut should be at least proportionate to the number of federal regulations that are nullified: Not only are fewer employees required to enforce fewer regulations, but the agency would produce fewer regulations once its scope of authority is properly limited. Employees whose positions are eliminated deserve to be treated with respect, and DOGE's goal is to help support their transition into the private sector. The president can use existing laws to give them incentives for early retirement and to make voluntary severance payments to facilitate a graceful exit.

Conventional wisdom holds that statutory civil-service protections stop the president or even his political appointees from firing federal workers. The purpose of these protections is to protect employees from political retaliation. But the statute allows for "reductions in force" that don't target specific employees. The statute further empowers the president to "prescribe rules governing the competitive service." That power is broad. Previous presidents have used it to amend the civil service rules by executive order, and the Supreme Court has held in Franklin v. Massachusetts (1992) and Collins v. Yellen (2021) that they weren't constrained by the Administrative Procedures [sic] Act when they did so. With this authority, Mr. Trump can implement any number of "rules governing the competitive service" that would curtail administrative overgrowth, from large-scale firings to relocation of federal agencies out of the Washington area. Requiring federal employees to come to the office five days a week would result in a wave of voluntary terminations that we welcome: If federal employees don't want to show up, American taxpayers shouldn't pay them for the Covid-era privilege of staying home.

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 14. On 12 January 2025, the *New York Times* reported, citing "people who have insight into DOGE's operations," "The goal is for most major agencies to eventually have two DOGE representatives." This report added that "the minority of people not detailed to agencies would be housed within the Executive Office of the President at the U.S. Digital Service" and that "DOGE is also expected to have an office in the Office of Management and Budget."
- 15. This report also noted, "People involved in the operation say that secrecy and avoiding leaks is paramount, and much of its communication is conducted on Signal, the encrypted messaging app."
- 16. This claim is supported by a public blog post by Vinay Hiremath, who worked with DOGE for four weeks in November and December:

After 8 calls with people who all talked fast and sounded very . . . smart, I was added to a number of Signal groups and immediately put to work. . . Within 2 minutes of talking to the final interviewer for DOGE, he asked me if I wanted to join. I said "yes". Then he said "cool" and I was in multiple Signal groups. I was immediately acquainted with the software, HR, and legal teams and went from 0 to 100 taking meetings and getting shit done. This was the day before Thanksgiving.

The next 4 weeks of my life consisted of 100s of calls recruiting the smartest people I've ever talked to, working on various projects I'm definitely not able to talk about, and learning how completely dysfunctional the government was.

PART II: DOGE BECOMES USDS AND BEGINS EXERCISING AUTHORITY

- 17. On 20 January 2025, President Trump issued Executive Order 14,158, entitled Establishing and Implementing the President's "Department of Government Efficiency" ("the Order" or "E.O. 14,158").
- 18. The Order stated that the existing U.S. Digital Service, which was housed in the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB"), was renamed the U.S. DOGE Service and moved to the Executive Office of the President ("EOP"). According to the Order, the USDS Administrator—also referred to as the "Administrator of the Department of Government Efficiency" in other presidential documents—would be the head of USDS.
 - 19. USDS has a self-contained structure.

5

8

1112

14

15

13

1617

18 19

2021

2324

22

26

27

25

28

- 20. USDS does more than merely advise and assist the President. In fact, USDS wields substantial authority independently of the President, whether by the terms of the Order or other applicable rules or regulations or in actual practice.
- 21. Since 20 January, USDS staff have entered numerous government agencies and have: (a) gained access to computer systems previously available only to agency employees; (b) rendered decisions related to agency payments or agency personnel; and (c) ordered agency supervisors or staff to take various actions.
- 22. For example, USDS has taken credit for "saving the Federal Government approx.. \$1 billion/day, mostly from stopping the hiring of people into unnecessary positions, deletion of DEI and stopping improper payments to foreign organizations, all consistent with the President's Executive Orders." Department of Government Efficiency (@DOGE), X.com (Jan. 28, 2025 7:20 PM), at https://x.com/DOGE/status/1884396041786524032 (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025).
- 23. Stopping the hiring of people into allegedly unnecessary positions is an exercise of independent authority.
- 24. Deletion of "DEI," meaning information, initiatives, or programs related to diversity, equity, and inclusion, is an exercise of independent authority.
- 25. Stopping allegedly improper payments to foreign organizations is an exercise of independent authority.
- 26. As another example, USDS has taken credit for "feeding USAID into the wood chipper." Elon Musk (@elonmusk), X.com (Feb. 3, 2025 1:54 AM), at https://x.com/elonmusk/status/1886307316804263979 (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025).
- 27. Feeding a federal agency into a wood chipper is an exercise of independent authority.

PART III: MUSK IS IN CHARGE OF USDS

28. Even though the White House has filed documents in litigation contending that Amy Gleason ("Gleason") is the Acting USDS Administrator, all evidence points to Musk actually running USDS in practice if not in formal name.

- 29. For example, on 19 February, President Trump publicly stated, "I signed an order creating the Department of Government Efficiency and put a man named Elon Musk in charge." Anna Bower (@annabower.bsky.social), Bluesky (Feb. 19, 2025 6:11 PM), at https://bsky.app/profile/annabower.bsky.social/post/3likvkcjnr22h (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025).
- 30. On 22 February, President Trump posted on social media, "ELON IS DOING A GREAT JOB, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE HIM GET MORE AGGRESSIVE." Donald J. Trump (@realDonaldTrump), Truth Social (Feb. 22, 2025 8:04 AM), at https://truthsocial.com/@realDonaldTrump/posts/114047677181856301 (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025). Within seven hours, Elon Musk posted on social media, "Consistent with President @realDonaldTrump's instructions, all federal employees will shortly receive an email requesting to understand what they got done last week. Failure to respond will be taken as a resignation." Elon Musk (@elonmusk), X.com (Feb. 22, 2025 2:46 PM), at https://x.com/elonmusk/status/1893386883444437415 (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025). The promised email was sent out by the Office of Personnel Management ("OPM") soon after.
- 31. In a press conference on 24 February, President Trump reiterated that people who did not respond to Musk's OPM email would be "sort of semi-fired or . . . fired." Courtney Kube, et al., DOGE will use AI to assess the responses of federal workers who were told to justify their jobs via email, NBC News (Feb. 24, 2025), at https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/doge/federal-workers-agencies-push-back-elon-musks-email-ultimatum-rcna193439 (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025).
- 32. Even after OPM reversed its earlier position and stated that responses were entirely voluntary, Musk posted on social media that same day that a second OPM email would be forthcoming and that "[s]ubject to the discretion of the President, [Government employees] will be given another chance[,]" warning that "[f]ailure to respond a second time will result in termination." Elon Musk (@elonmusk), X.com (Feb. 24, 2025 7:06 PM), at https://x.com/elonmusk/status/1894177129887404484 (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025). The second OPM email was sent on 28 February 2025.

- 33. On 26 February 2025, in his first Cabinet meeting of the year, which was attended by Musk, President Trump again acknowledged Musk's position as the head of USDS: "I'm going to ask if it's possible to have Elon get up first and talk about DOGE. . . . So Elon, if you could get up and explain where you are, how you're doing, and how much we're cutting." *Trump: People who didn't respond to 'what did you do' email are on the bubble*, Scripps News (Feb. 26, 2025), at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jd-MlbvYles (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025).
- 34. In this Cabinet meeting, Musk, for his part, consistently referred to "the DOGE team" as "we" over ten times in three minutes in his remarks to the Cabinet, while admitting that "we"—meaning USDS—sent out the OPM email. *Id*.
- 35. On 4 March 2025, President Trump, in his Joint Address to Congress, stated that DOGE was "headed by Elon Musk."
- 36. It has been widely reported that Musk has been inviting officials to call him on his cell phone to discuss DOGE. Annie Grayer, et al., Republicans push Musk to let Congress vote on DOGE cuts, CNN (Mar. 5, 2025), at https://www.cnn.com/2025/03/05/politics/musk-doge-republicans/index.html (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025) ("Musk gave out his cell phone number during the closed-door meeting to GOP senators and told them he wants to work more closely with them."); Nikki McCann Ramirez & Asawin Suebsaeng, Trump's cabinet sure seems pissed about Elon Musk, Rolling Stone (Mar. 7, 2025), available at https://www.rollingstone.com/politics/politics-news/musk-spars-trump-cabinet-officials-1235291830/ (last accessed Mar. 25, 2025) (reporting that, in a confrontation with Transportation Secretary Sean Duffy, Musk "offer[ed] him his phone number").
- 37. Lastly, on 19 March 2025, the attorneys for defendants X Corporation, X Holdings Corporation, and Musk himself submitted a letter to the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware detailing their objections to the plaintiffs' request to depose Musk. In this letter, Musk's own private lawyers stated: "And the presumed undue burden from a deposition is heightened because Musk is not only X Corp.'s (and other companies') highest executive, but he is a high-ranking government official. The White House has designated Musk a 'special government employee' in charge of Establishing and Implementing the President's Department of Government

38.

5

8

12

13

11

1415

17

18

16

19 20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

of the EOP Office of Administration has, under penalty of perjury, stated that Musk is merely a "Senior Advisor to the President" and is "not an employee of the U.S. DOGE Service or U.S.

(D. Del.) (emphasis added) (citations omitted).

DOGE Service Temporary Organization." Fisher Decl., Dkt. #24-1, ¶ 6 (filed Feb. 17, 2025), *State of N.M. v. Musk*, No. 25-429 (D.D.C.).

Efficiency ("DOGE")." Ltr., Dkt. #144, at 3 (filed Mar. 19, 2025), Arnold v. X Corp., No. 23-528

Despite this public trail clearly identifying Musk as the head of USDS, the Director

- 39. Gleason herself has submitted a declaration in another case on 14 March 2025 stating unequivocally: "Elon Musk does not work at USDS. I do not report to him and he does not report to me. To my knowledge, he is a Senior Advisor to the White House." Gleason Decl., Dkt. #20-2, ¶ 6 (filed Mar. 14, 2025), *Citizens for Resp. & Ethics in Wash. v. U.S. DOGE Serv.*, No. 25-511 (D.D.C.).
- 40. Upon information and belief, the Fisher and Gleason declarations—and other comparable statements—have been made in bad faith to insulate Musk from any accountability or transparency as the head of USDS.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(CONSTRUCTIVE DENIAL – MSW MEDIA REQUEST NO. 1)

- 41. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in all paragraphs set forth above.
- 42. On 11 February 2025, MSW Media submitted to USDS a FOIA request for "all emails sent or received by employees of the US DOGE Service between February 7th, 2025, and February 10th, 2025, inclusive."
- 43. MSW Media sent this request by Federal Express to U.S. DOGE Service, Executive Office of the President, 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20500, and it was delivered on 13 February 2025.
 - 44. As of this writing, USDS has not acknowledged or responded to this request.
- 45. MSW Media has a legal right under FOIA to obtain the information it seeks, and there is no legal basis for the denial by USDS of said right.

1

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in all paragraphs set forth

On 25 February 2025, FAC submitted to USDS a FOIA request for "all emails or

FAC sent this request by Federal Express to U.S. DOGE Service, Executive Office

As of this writing, USDS has not acknowledged or responded to this request.

FAC has a legal right under FOIA to obtain the information it seeks, and there is no

2 3

(CONSTRUCTIVE DENIAL – FAC REQUEST NO. 1)

other electronic text communications sent or received by any employees of the U.S. DOGE

request in which Elon Musk was either the sender or a recipient."

Service or U.S. DOGE Service Temporary Organization from January 20, 2025, to the date of this

of the President, 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20500, and it was delivered

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs MSW Media, Inc. and First Amendment Coalition pray that this

Declare and find that the United States DOGE Service is an agency subject to

Order preliminary and permanent injunctive and/or declaratory relief as may be

Award reasonable costs and attorneys' fees as provided in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E),

4

above.

46.

47.

48.

on 27 February 2025.

49.

50.

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

6

5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

Court:

FOIA;

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 appropriate;

28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), or any other applicable law;

legal basis for the denial by USDS of said right.

(5) Expedite this action in every way pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1657(a); and

(6)

Order USDS to release all requested records to them;

Grant such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Case No. 3:25-cv-2881

1	Dated: March 28, 2025	Respectfully submitted,
2		FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION
3		By/s/ David Loy
4		DAVID LOY
5		ANN CAPPETTA Attorneys for Plaintiffs MSW MEDIA, INC.
6		and FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

This civil cover sheet does not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers. The information on this form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket. Instructions are on the reverse of this form.

Judicial Conference of the On	inted States in September 1974	, is required for the C	SICIK OI C	ourt to initiate the civil docker	i. Instructions are on the revers	se of this form.		
I. PLAINTIFF(S)				DEFENDANT(S)				
MSW Media, Inc., First Amendment Coalition				United States DOGE Service				
County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff: Leave blank in cases where United States is plaintiff: San Diego				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant: Use ONLY in cases where United States is plaintiff.				
	nation (Firm Name, Address, and			Defendant's Attorney's Name and				
David Loy	nation (Firm Name, Address, and	гетернопе титоет)		Defendant's Attorney's Name and	Contact information (if known)			
First Amendment Coali	tion							
534 4th Street, Suite B,	San Rafael, CA 94901-3	3334						
415.460.5060								
II. BASIS OF JURIS	SDICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		III. CAUSE OF AC				
U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	ot a Party)		Cite the U.S. Statute under which you are filing: (Use jurisdictional statutes only for diversity) 5 USC § 552				
U.S. Government Defenda	ant Diversity			Brief description of case: Re	equest for government in	formation		
	UIT (Place an "X" in One Box	• •						
CONTRACT		RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJ		625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC	375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC		
130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injur Liability	ry -Product	690 Other	§ 157	§ 3729(a))		
140 Negotiable Instrument	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	367 Health Care/		LABOR	PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	330 Federal Employers'	Pharmaceutic		710 Fair Labor Standards Act	820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Liability	368 Asbestos Perso	•	720 Labor/Management	830 Patent	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce		
151 Medicare Act	340 Marine	Product Liabi		Relations	835 Patent – Abbreviated New Drug Application	460 Deportation		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROI	PERTY	740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical	840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced &		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	355 Motor Vehicle Product	370 Other Fraud		Leave Act	880 Defend Trade Secrets	Corrupt Organizations		
153 Recovery of	Liability	371 Truth in Lend 380 Other Persona	-	790 Other Labor Litigation	Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit 485 Telephone Consumer		
Overpayment	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -Medical	Damage		791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	861 HIA (1395ff)	Protection Act		
of Veteran's Benefits	Malpractice	385 Property Dama	age Product	IMMIGRATION	862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV		
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract		Liability		462 Naturalization	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange		
195 Contract Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETIT	TIONS	Application	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise	440 Other Civil Rights	HABEAS COR		465 Other Immigration Actions	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty		Actions		893 Environmental Matters		
210 Land Condemnation	442 Employment			e	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information Act		
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/ Accommodations				870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or	896 Arbitration		
240 Torts to Land	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -				Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	899 Administrative Procedure		
245 Tort Product Liability	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	OTHER			26 U.S.C. § 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision		
290 All Other Real Property	448 Education	540 Mandamus &	Other			950 Constitutionality of State		
		550 Civil Rights				Statutes		
		555 Prison Conditi						
		560 Civil Detained Conditions of						
		Confinement						
V. ORIGIN (Place an	1 "X" in One Box Only)					Market at a training of the con-		
Original Proceeding Ren	noved from State Court 🔲 Rema	nded from Appellate Co	ourt 🗌 Re	einstated or Reopened Transfe	erred from Another District M	Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer ultidistrict Litigation-Direct File		
VI. FOR DIVERSITY	CASES ONLY:		VII.	REQUESTED IN COM	MPLAINT			
CITIZENSHIP O	F PRINCIPAL PARTI	ES						
	for Plaintiff and One Box for Defe	ndant)	☐ Chec	Check if the complaint contains a jury demand.				
Plaintiff Defendant Ch			☐ Chec	Check if the complaint contains a monetary demand. Amount: \$				
Citizen of California			☐ Chec	Check if the complaint seeks class action status under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.				
Citizen of Another State				heck if the complaint seeks a nationwide injunction or Administrative Procedure Act vacatur.				
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country				and complaint seeks a flation				
☐ Incorporated or	r Principal Place of Business In Ca	lifornia						
☐ Incorporated ar	nd Principal Place of Business In A	Another State						
☐ ☐ Foreign Nation	ı							
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) OR MDL CASE								
Provide case name(s), number(s), and presiding judge(s).								
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2								
(Place an "X" in One B	Box Only) 🔀 SAN FR	RANCISCO/OA	KLANI	D SAN JOS	SE ∐EUREKA	-MCKINLEYVILLE		

Case 3:25-cv-02881 Document 1-1 Filed 03/28/25 Page 2 of 2

COMPLETING THE CIVIL COVER SHEET

Complete the form as follows:

I. Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.

Attorney/Pro Se Litigant Information. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and email for attorney of record or pro se litigant. If there are several individuals, list them on an attachment.

- II. Jurisdiction. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), pleadings must establish the basis of jurisdiction. If multiple bases for jurisdiction apply, prioritize them in the order listed:
 - (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345 and 1348 for suits filed by the United States, its agencies or officers.
 - (2) United States defendant. Applies when the United States, its agencies, or officers are defendants.
 - (3) Federal question. Select this option when jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1331 for cases involving the U.S. Constitution, its amendments, federal laws, or treaties (but use choices 1 or 2 if the United States is a party).
 - (4) Diversity of citizenship. Select this option when jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1332 for cases between citizens of different states and complete Section VI to specify the parties' citizenship. Note: Federal question jurisdiction takes precedence over diversity jurisdiction.
- III. Cause of Action. Enter the statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless jurisdiction is based on diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 U.S.C. § 553. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Check one of the boxes. If the case fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive or predominant.
- V. Origin. Check one of the boxes:
 - (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action, using the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) Transferred from Another District. Check this box for cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Do not use this for within-district transfers or multidistrict litigation (MDL) transfers.
 - (6) Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. Check this box when a multidistrict (MDL) case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. § 1407.
 - (7) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.
- VI. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. Mark for each principal party only if jurisdiction is based on diversity of citizenship.

VII. Requested in Complaint.

- (1) Jury demand. Check this box if plaintiff's complaint demanded a jury trial.
- (2) Monetary demand. For cases demanding monetary relief, check this box and enter the actual dollar amount being demanded.
- (3) Class action. Check this box if plaintiff is filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- (4) Nationwide injunction. Check this box if plaintiff is seeking a nationwide injunction or nationwide vacatur pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act.
- VIII. Related Cases. If there are related pending case(s), provide the case name(s) and number(s) and the name(s) of the presiding judge(s). If a short-form MDL complaint is being filed, furnish the MDL case name and number.
- IX. Divisional Assignment. Identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: "the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated." Note that case assignment is made without regard for division in the following case types: Property Rights (Patent, Trademark and Copyright), Prisoner Petitions, Securities Class Actions, Anti-Trust, Bankruptcy, Social Security, and Tax.