1	Shannon D. Boyd, State Bar No. 273574 Jeff F. Tchakarov, State Bar No. 295506	ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
2	PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP	Superior Court of California County of Marin	
3	200 East Carrillo Street, Fourth Floor Santa Barbara, California 93101	<b>09/06/2024</b> James M. Kim, Clerk of the Court  By: D. Harrison, Deputy	
4	Telephone: (805) 962-0011 Facsimile: (805) 965-3978		
5			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff John Doe		
7	Plaintiff John Doe		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF MARIN		
10			
11	JOHN DOE, an individual,	Case No.: CV0003896	
12	Plaintiff/Petitioner,	Assigned for all purposes to: Hon.	
13	VS.	Tion.	
14	MILL VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT,  VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT		
15	Defendant/Respondent.	INJUCTION AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE	
16	Holly McDede,		
17	Real Party in Interest.		
18			
19			
20	Plaintiff and Petitioner John Doe, by and through his attorneys Price, Postel & Parma, LLP,		
21	hereby complains, petitions and alleges as follows:		
22	THE PARTIES		
23	Plaintiff and Petitioner John Doe	("John Doe") is an individual residing in the State	
24	of California.		
25	2. Defendant and Respondent Mill Valley School District ("District") is a public entity		
26	located in the State of California, County of Marin.		
27	3. Holly McDede ("Requester") is an individual residing in the State of California.		
28	4. Under rights to privacy granted b	y the Constitution of the State of California and due	

be provided.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court because the action arises under California state law, John Doe resides in the State of California, the District is located in Marin County, and all pertinent events and actions occurred and continue to occur in Marin County.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. The District is located 13 miles north of San Francisco and the Golden Gate Bridge in Marin County. The District has 5 elementary schools and 1 middle school with an enrollment of approximately 2,400 students in grades TK through 8. Four of the schools are located within the City of Mill Valley, while two are located in the adjacent unincorporated areas of Strawberry and Tamalpais Valley. The District also includes the unincorporated communities of Alto, Almonte, Homestead Valley, and Muir Beach.
  - 7. John Doe is an individual who is a former employee of the District.
- 8. The Requester is a reporter, editor and producer employed by KQED a news media outlet based in San Francisco, California.
- 9. The District maintains personnel records for its employees and former employees, such as John Doe, concerning their employment with the District.
- 10. On or about June 7, 2024, the District received from the Requester a request ("Request") under the California Public Records Act ("CPRA") (Cal. Gov. Code. § 7920.000, et seq. (formerly Cal. Gov. Code § 6250, et seq.)).
- 11. The Request sought public records related to claims of sexual harassment, sexual assault, or boundary crossing or grooming behavior made regarding teachers or other employees of the District.
- 12. The Request also sought public records related to claims of sexual harassment, sexual assault, or grooming made to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing from 2014 to the

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

date the Request is fulfilled.

- On or about August 23, 2024, the District provided notice of the Request to John Doe 13. ("Notice"), informing John Doe that the Request implicated his personnel documents concerning his previous employment with the District, including documents regarding allegations of sexual misconduct, sexual harassment, and/or grooming related to students.
- 14. Attached to the Notice were some of John Doe's personnel records containing documents relating to complaints of misconduct which allegedly took place during John Doe's employment with the District ("Personnel Records").
- 15. The District intends to disclose John Doe's Personnel Records to the Requester not later than September 13, 2024, unless a court order mandates otherwise, which forced John Doe to seek judicial relief to assert and protect his privacy rights.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### (Violation of Article I, Section 1 of the Constitution of the State of California)

- 16. John Doe hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Complaint/Petition.
- 17. The California Constitution gives each California citizen an "inalienable" right to pursue and obtain privacy under Article 1, Section 1, which reads as follows: "All people are by nature free, independent and have inalienable rights. Among those are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy."
- 18. The District has an obligation as a public entity to comply with the California Constitution and protect all persons from any disclosure which would invade their privacy. The District's intended disclosure of John Doe's Personnel Records would violate this basic tenet of California law.
- 19. John Doe did not waive his right to privacy under the California Constitution, Article 1, Section 1, in connection with the Personnel Records. The District did not obtain consent from John Doe, and John Doe will not consent to the release of his private Personnel Records to the public.
  - 20. Allowing disclosure of John Doe's Personnel Records to the Requester would serve

5

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

no interest other than the prurient interest of the media and readers/listeners/viewers hungry for scandal.

- The disclosure of the Personnel Records would cause irreparable harm to John Doe 21. in the form of embarrassment, harassment, humiliation, and harm to reputation, as well as economic and non-economic injury.
- 22. At all times mentioned, the District has been able to exercise the discretion to withhold the Personnel Records. Notwithstanding this ability, the District has refused to withhold the Personnel Records and instead intends to deliver them to the Requester and the media.
- 23. John Doe has no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law, other than the relief sought in this Complaint/Petition because, pursuant to the CPRA, no other judicial, administrative, or contractual remedy is available to John Doe. Furthermore, release of the Personnel Records would render any subsequent judicial, administrative, or contractual remedy moot.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the California Public Records Act – Cal. Gov. Code § 7920.000, et seq.)

- 24. John Doe hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 23 of this Complaint/Petition.
- 25. The CPRA was enacted in 1968 to promote government accountability, but expressly recognized every individual's right to privacy: "In enacting this division, the Legislature, mindful of the right of individuals to privacy, finds and declares that access to information concerning the conduct of the people's business is a fundamental and necessary right of every person in this state." Cal. Gov. Code § 7921.000 (emphasis added).
- 26. Further, the CPRA "does not require disclosure of personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Cal. Gov. Code § 7927.700 (emphasis added).
- 27. Therefore, the CPRA may permit access to public records, but it does not require the disclosure of private records of individuals, such as John Doe's Personnel Records at issue in this case.

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 28. The District has an obligation to comply with the CPRA by withholding the private Personnel Records in order to protect John Doe's privacy.
  - 29. The District's intended disclosure of the Personnel Records violates the CPRA.
- 30. John Doe is entitled to mandamus and to file this "Reverse-CPRA" action pursuant to Marken v. Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District, 202 Cal. App. 4th 1250 (2012) and its progeny, in order to compel the District to comply with the CPRA and to protect the confidentiality of John Doe's Personnel Records at issue.
- John Doe was never disciplined for any of the conduct alleged or otherwise described 31. in the Personnel Records, and the allegations of John Doe's misconduct are neither "substantial" (i.e., the complaints against John Doe did not allege violence, threats of violence, or sexual-type conduct) nor well-founded (i.e., the complaints against John Doe have no indicia of reliability of the allegations contained therein).
- 32. In fact, on or about February 22, 2023, the Committee on Teacher Credentialing sent a letter to John Doe stating that "[t]he Committee of Credentials, after careful review and consideration of the materials contained in your file, has determined to close its investigation and to recommend no adverse action at this time."
- 33. At all times mentioned, the District has been able to exercise the discretion to withhold the Personnel Records. Notwithstanding this ability, the District has refused to withhold the Personnel Records and instead intends to deliver them to the Requester and the media.
- 34. John Doe has no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law, other than the relief sought in this Complaint/Petition because, pursuant to the CPRA, no other judicial, administrative, or contractual remedy is available to John Doe. Furthermore, release of the Personnel Records would render any subsequent judicial, administrative, or contractual remedy moot.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Temporary Restraining Order, Preliminary and Permanent Injunction Against the District)

35. John Doe hereby realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 34 of this Complaint/Petition.

	36.	Each and all of the documents contained in John Doe's Personnel Records are of	
'person	nel" n	ature as they all relate to John Doe's former employment with the District. As such	
the Personnel Records are exempt from disclosure and should be withheld by the District, pursuan			
to Cal.	Gov. C	Code §§ 7922.000 and 7927.700.	

- 37. John Doe was never disciplined for any of the conduct alleged or otherwise described in the Personnel Records, and the allegations of John Doe's misconduct are neither "substantial" (*i.e.*, the complaints against John Doe did not allege violence, threats of violence, or sexual-type conduct) nor well-founded (*i.e.*, the complaints against John Doe have no indicia of reliability of the allegations contained therein).
- 38. In fact, on or about February 22, 2023, the Committee on Teacher Credentialing sent a letter to John Doe stating that "[t]he Committee of Credentials, after careful review and consideration of the materials contained in your file, has determined to close its investigation and to recommend no adverse action at this time."
- 39. Although John Doe's Personnel Records are not subject to disclosure under the CPRA, the District has threatened to disclose said documents to the Requester and the media not later than **September 13, 2024**, unless a court order mandates otherwise.
- 40. The District's threatened wrongful conduct, unless and until enjoined and restrained by an order of this Court, will cause great and irreparable injury to John Doe, as such disclosure would violate his constitutional right to privacy in his Personnel Records.
- 41. John Doe has no adequate remedy at law for the injuries threatened by the District. An award of monetary damages would not provide an adequate remedy, as once the Personnel Records have been released, John Doe's privacy will have been violated irreversibly.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and Petitioner John Doe prays for relief as follows:

A. That the Court issue an alternative writ of mandate commanding the District to comply with the California Constitution and the CPRA and protect the confidentiality of John Doe's Personnel Records, or, in the alternative, show cause why it should not do so, and thereafter issue a peremptory writ of mandate commanding the District to comply with the California Constitution

and the CPRA and protect the confidentiality of John Doe's Personnel Records. 1 B. That the Court issue a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction and 2 permanent injunction, enjoining the District, its agents, servants, and employees, and all persons 3 acting under, in concert with, or for the District, from disclosing John Doe's Personnel Records to 4 the Requester or to any other third party. 5 For attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action. C. 6 D. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 7 8 Dated: September 6, 2024 PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP 9 10 11 SHANNON D. BOYD 12 JEFF F. TCHAKAROV Attorneys for 13 Plaintiff/Petitioner John Doe 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

# VERIFICATION I, John Doe, am the Plaintiff and Petitioner in the above-captioned action. I have read the VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUCTION AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE and know the contents thereof. I am informed and believe, and on that ground allege, that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. This Verification was executed on the 6th day of September, 2024, in the County of Alameda , in the State of California. Declarant, Plaintiff/Petitioner John Doe