1 2 3 4 5	DAVID LOY, Cal. Bar No. 229235 ANN CAPPETTA, Cal. Bar No. 354079 FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION 534 4th Street, Suite B San Rafael, CA 94901-3334 Telephone: 415.460.5060 Email dloy@firstamendmentcoalition.org acappetta@firstamendmentcoalition.	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Solano 08/16/2024 at 01:26:53 PM By: K. Britton, Deputy Clerk
6 7	Attorneys for Intervenor HOLLY McDEDE	
7 8 9		IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DF SOLANO
10		
11	MATTHEW SHELTON, an Individual,	Case No. CU24-03170
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	INTERVENOR HOLLY McDEDE'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY
14 15	NAPA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT; BENECIA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT; and DOES 1-25, inclusive,	INJUNCTIONDate:September 3, 2024Time:1:30 pmDept.:3
16	Defendants.	1
17 18	HOLLY McDEDE, Intervenor.	The Hon. Stephen Gizzi
19		
20	As authorized by the Court in its order of	June 24, 2024, Intervenor Holly McDede submits
21	this supplemental brief in opposition to Plaintiff	Matthew Shelton's request for a preliminary
22	injunction prohibiting Defendants Napa Valley U	
23	School District (collectively, "Districts") from di	sclosing public records requested by McDede
24	under the California Public Records Act.	
25	In compliance with the Court's order, the Districts served and filed indexes of the records	
26	they intended to disclose to McDede before Shelton filed this action. For the reasons stated in	
27	McDede's opposition to a preliminary injunction, the public has a compelling interest in	
28	disclosure of those records because they document	nt the Districts' employment of Shelton and their
		1- Case No. CU24-03170 McDEDE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

response to well-founded allegations that he violated the special trust and confidence placed in
 him as a teacher by sexually abusing young students.

-

3 For example, the Benicia Unified School District index shows that Christina Moore was central to the investigation of allegations against Mr. Shelton. A lawsuit filed against the Benicia 4 5 district alleges that Moore, the principal of Shelton's school, "was made directly aware of 6 SHELTON's inappropriate conduct towards female students," but because "Moore was close 7 friends with SHELTON and his wife, she set out on a course to attempt to sweep these allegations under the rug to protect SHELTON" and "engaged in retaliatory conduct towards any students 8 9 (and their parents) that reported SHELTON's misconduct to her." Doe 2 et al. v. Benicia Unified 10 School District et al., No. CU24-03148, Complaint ¶¶ 21–22 (Apr. 24, 2024). The suit further 11 alleges that "SHELTON realized that he could not continue at Semple given the avalanche of 12 accusations being made against him," and "[a]s a result, SHELTON and Ms. Moore concocted a 13 plan: SHELTON would quietly resign from BUSD to seek employment from West Contra Costa 14 Unified School District ("WCCUSD") and Ms. Moore would ensure that if a reference check was done, nothing negative would be said about SHELTON's time at Semple."¹ Id. ¶ 23. 15

Regardless of the result of that lawsuit, the public has a compelling interest in disclosure of
public records that relate to the question whether Moore conducted a proper investigation of the
allegations against Shelton or undermined the investigation due to her alleged relationship with
Shelton. The Benicia district was prepared to disclose the records before Shelton filed suit, and
nothing argued by Shelton justifies prohibiting the district from doing so.

As explained in McDede's opposition to a preliminary injunction, Shelton has no
cognizable privacy interest in preventing disclosure of such records, nor would disclosure deprive
him of a fair trial, given that Shelton has only speculated about any potential prejudice. In any
event, pretrial publicity does not inherently prevent a fair trial, and the Court may ensure an

- 25
- 26

 ²⁷
 ¹ Another lawsuit has been filed against Benicia Unified School District arising from the
 <sup>allegations against Shelton. *Doe v. Benicia Unified School District et al.*, No. CU24-02702.
 ^b The complaints in each lawsuit are attached to the request for judicial notice filed herewith.
</sup>

1	impartial jury with careful voir dire and cautionary instructions, or if ultimately necessary a		
2	change of venue. Accordingly, Shelton's request for a preliminary injunction should be denied.		
3	CONCLUSION		
4	For the foregoing reasons, and those stated in McDede's opposition to a preliminary		
5	injunction, the Court is respectfully requested to deny Shelton's request for a preliminary		
6	injunction, vacate the temporary restraining order, and allow the Districts to disclose public		
7	records requested by McDede.		
8	Dated: August 16, 2024		
9	Respectfully submitted,		
10	FIRST AMENDMENT COALITION		
11			
12	By 1955		
13	DAVID LOY ANN CAPPETTA		
14	Attorneys for HOLLY McDEDE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
21 22			
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-3- Case No. CU24-03170		
	-3- Case No. CU24-03170 McDEDE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF		

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action . I am employed in the County of Marin, State of California. My business address is 534 4th Street,	
3	Suite B, San Rafael, CA 94901-3334.	
4 5	On August 16, 2024, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as INTERVENOR HOLLY McDEDE'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION on the interested parties in this action as follows:	
6	Kevin Gres Attorneys for Plaintiff Matthew Shelton	
7	Law Offices of Kevin Gres 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3020	
8	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Email: kevin@kevingres.com	
9	Mary T. Hernández Attorneys for Defendant Napa Valley Alex Sears Unified School District	
10		
11	2490 Mariner Square Loop, Suite 140 Alameda, CA 94501	
12	Email: mhernandez@ghslaw.com; asears@ghslaw.com; onzewi@ghslaw.com	
13	Joshua Stevens Attorneys for Defendant Benicia	
14	Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost LLPUnified School District70 Washington Street, Suite 205	
15	Oakland, California 94607 Email: jstevens@f3law.com	
16	BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused a copy of the	
17	at the e-mail addresses listed in the Service List. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after	
18	the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.	
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	
20	foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 16, 2024, at East Palo Alto, California.	
21	Pi BRA	
22	Robin P. Regnier	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-4- Case No. CU24-03170 McDEDE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF	